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Chairman Rose, Ranking Member Walker, distinguished members of the subcommittee, on behalf of FDD, thank you for the opportunity to testify.

I commend you for holding this hearing. I am going to talk about international anti-Semitism and anti-Semitic terrorism, and strongly suggest that these expressions of bigotry and violence are making a significant contribution to “the rise in anti-Semitic domestic terrorism.”

Jew-hatred is as old as the Judean Hills, pre-dating even the ancient rebellions of the Jewish nation against the Roman imperialists and colonialists who had conquered their lands.

Over the centuries, Jews have been persecuted, attacked, and murdered based on their religion and what used to be called their race.

They have been despised for being rich and poor, as capitalists and communists, as rootless cosmopolitans and – in Israel – as nationalists.

Jew-haters may be white supremacists, Islamic supremacists, or self-proclaimed social justice warriors. You cannot reason people out of anti-Semitism, because no one was ever reasoned into it.

What should be apparent: In the 20th century, anti-Semitism culminated in the murder of the European Jew. In the 21st century, anti-Semitism is meant to culminate in the murder of the Jewish state.

Hamas, Palestinian Islamic Jihad, Hezbollah, and the Islamic Republic of Iran – which supports all the terrorist groups I have just named – are candid about their genocidal intentions.

Supreme Leader Ali Khamenei has called Israel a “malignant cancerous tumor” that must be “removed and eradicated.”¹ Hassan Nasrallah, Hezbollah’s leader, has said: “If Jews all gather in Israel, it will save us the trouble of going after them worldwide.”²

It is often forgotten – or ignored – that more than half the Jews in Israel descend from families that lived for centuries in Arab or Muslim lands, in such formerly diverse cities as Alexandria, Cairo, Tripoli, Beirut, and Aleppo. Bagdad, circa 1945, was as much as one-third Jewish.

In the aftermath of World War II, Jews were driven out – not because they supported Israel, but because they were Jews.

You will hear people say: “I’m not anti-Semitic. I’m just anti-Zionist.” Prior to 1948, the Zionist mission was to reestablish a Jewish nation-state in part of the ancient Jewish homeland. One could oppose that for many reasons. Since 1948, however, Zionism has come to mean support for Israel’s survival, its right to exist.

¹ Tamar Pileggi, “Khamenei: Israel a ‘cancerous tumor’ that ‘must be eradicated,’” The Times of Israel (Israel), June 4, 2018. (https://www.timesofisrael.com/khamenei-israel-a-cancerous-tumor-that-must-be-eradicated/)
So if you are an anti-Zionist today you are, at best, indifferent to the fate of the only viable Jewish community remaining in the Middle East. In other words, to an anti-Zionist, Jewish lives don’t matter.

If anti-Semitism is a disease, what we are experiencing today is a global epidemic. Jew-hatred has become not just widely acceptable but edgy, if not fashionable – even in lands where there are virtually no Jews.

One example: Malaysia’s prime minister, Mahathir Mohamad, is outspokenly anti-Semitic. Last October, Columbia University held a “Global Leaders Forum” where he instructed the audience: “When you say ‘you cannot be anti-Semitic,’ there is no free speech.” He added: “Why can’t I say something about the Jews, when people say nasty things about me and about Malaysia?”

Would Columbia have honored as a “Global Leader” a Christian or a Jew who spoke similarly about Muslims, Salvadorans, or members of the LGBT community?

In France, Sarah Halimi, a retired physician and director of a nursery, was stabbed and thrown to her death from her balcony by a neighbor screaming “Allahu Akbar.” A French court has now dismissed all charges against her killer, on grounds that he was “not responsible” because he had been smoking marijuana.

In Argentina five years ago, Alberto Nisman, a prosecutor investigating the bombing of a Jewish community center in Buenos Aires, was shot in the head hours before he was to present evidence of a plot involving then-President Cristina Kirchner and officials of the Islamic Republic of Iran. The current president says he has no idea what happened or who was responsible. Will there be yet another cover up of an alleged cover up?

In Great Britain recently, there was a serious chance that an anti-Semite would be elected prime minister.

I could go on. But I want to take a moment to remind you that the United Nations is a veritable volcano of anti-Israeli rhetoric and resolutions. The UN Human Rights Council is that organization’s most egregious and prolific enemy of the Jewish state. American tax dollars support it.

Mainstreaming and in some instances condoning Jew-hatred, both abroad and here at home, may not cause “anti-Semitic domestic terrorism,” but it is self-evidently a major contributing factor.

The following testimony will offer additional information based on the research of FDD scholars and provide specific recommendations.

---

Background

“Anti-Semitism” is a term coined in 1879 by Wilhelm Marr, a German Jew-hater who wanted to make clear that even Jews who convert and/or assimilate should be regarded as enemies conspiring against the German nation and the Aryan race.

In 1882, Leo Pinsker, a Jewish physician in Poland, came up with a different term: Judeophobia, which he called a “psychic aberration.” He added: “It is hereditary, and as a disease transmitted for two thousand years it is incurable.”

In 1919, Hitler outlined “rational anti-Semitism,” a doctrine whose “final objective must unswervingly be the removal of the Jews altogether.” After coming to power in 1933, he initiated an economic boycott under the slogan: “Don’t buy from Jews.” The cattle cars, concentration camps, gas chambers, and ovens would come later.

Today, we have the Boycott, Divestment, and Sanctions (BDS) campaign. Its implicit slogan: “Don’t buy from the Jewish state.” Its explicit goal: to de-legitimize and demonize Israel, to prepare the ground for Israel’s eventual annihilation. If it also can damage Israel’s economy, its proponents will be doubly pleased.

Many BDS advocates insist they only want Israel to change its policies, in particular to withdraw from the “occupied territories.” But after Israelis withdrew from Gaza in 2005 – a territory seized from Egypt, not Palestinians, in the defensive war of 1967 – Hamas fought a civil war against its rival, Fatah. Once in power, Hamas began attacking Israelis with missiles, terrorist tunnels, and incendiary kites.

Were Israel to withdraw from the West Bank – known as Judea and Samaria prior to the Jordanian conquest in 1948 and occupation till 1967 – without guarantees of peace and security, Hamas almost certainly would take over there as well and launch more attacks, from closer proximity than Gaza, against Tel Aviv, Jerusalem, and Israel’s international airport.

Israelis would have no choice but to respond militarily. In the battle that would ensue, both Jews and Palestinians would be killed. BDS advocates appear untroubled by that eventuality.

Another demand of BDS advocates is that Israel grant a “right of return” to the five million or so Palestinians who claim to be descended from refugees of Israel’s War of Independence and the Six-Day War of 1967. Were that to happen, Jewish Israelis would become a minority. Is it possible that they would enjoy equal rights in what would become an Arab- and Muslim-majority state?

There are 22 states in the Arab League. 57 states belong to the Organization of Islamic Cooperation. In none of these countries do any minorities enjoy equal rights.

By contrast, about 20 percent of Israel’s population are minorities. The largest: Israel’s Arab and Muslim communities. They enjoy more freedom, more rights, than do Arabs and Muslims in any Arab- or Muslim-majority nation. Worth noting: The only growing Christian community in the Middle East is in Israel.

I mentioned above the case of Sarah Halimi, whose killer was let off, apparently because in France crimes against Jews are treated less seriously than crimes against others. In this, France is not alone.

In January 2017, a court in Wuppertal, Germany, upheld a lower court’s ruling in the sentencing of three Germans of Palestinian descent to probation for setting fire to a synagogue in July 2014 – the same synagogue the Nazis had burned during Kristallnacht, the 1938 pogrom that presaged Hitler’s “final solution” for the Jews of Europe. The court decided that since the perpetrators were incensed about Israel’s actions in the Middle East, their act of arson did not constitute anti-Semitism.

In Belgium last year, Mehdi Nemmouche, identified by the BBC as a “French-born jihadist,” was found guilty of murdering an Israeli couple and two staffers at a Jewish museum in Brussels five years ago. His lawyer had claimed the attack was actually “a targeted execution” by agents of the Mossad, Israel’s foreign intelligence agency. He did not bother to present any evidence.

The BBC reported: “At one point the defense even argued that Nemmouche could not be considered anti-Semitic because he wore Calvin Klein shoes – an apparent reference to Mr. Klein’s Jewish heritage.”

Also in Belgium, less than a year ago, the annual Carnival parade included floats carrying oversized effigies of religious Jews, snarling men with big noses, sitting atop bags of money, one with a rat perched atop his shoulder.
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The United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization, or UNESCO, an agency ostensibly devoted to “the intellectual and moral solidarity of humanity,” recognized the parade as a cultural heritage event and declined to offer criticism.\(^{12}\)

In Ireland, the parliament has passed legislation, not yet enacted into law, to criminalize a range of business transactions with Jews in the West Bank, the Golan Heights, and even the Jewish Quarter of the Old City of Jerusalem.\(^{13}\)

In the following sections, I will provide additional examples of international anti-Semitism, anti-Zionism, and anti-Israelism based on the research of FDD’s scholars. I hope you will agree that this clearly establishes that ill winds from around the world are fanning the flames of the current “rise in anti-Semitic domestic terrorism.”

Following that, I will offer recommendations based on the conviction that while anti-Semitism cannot be cured, it can be treated and its impact mitigated and managed.

**Examples of International Anti-Semitism**

**Turkey**

Since February 2017, Turkey’s state-funded broadcaster TRT has sponsored and aired “The Last Emperor,” an anti-Semitic revisionist historical series that reached its 100\(^{th}\) episode last December. The drama peddles anti-Semitic conspiracies and has been documented to trigger anti-Semitic hate speech and hate crimes. This Turkish government-sanctioned anti-Semitic content is not only available in the United States via satellite, but also available with English, French, Spanish, Urdu, and other subtitles on YouTube, providing Turkey’s Islamist strongman Recep Tayyip Erdogan with the capacity to disseminate anti-Semitic content and incite diaspora communities in the United States.\(^{14}\) In commenting about the conspiracy theories propagated in the series, Erdogan said, “The same schemes are carried out today in the exact same manner … What the West does to us is the same; just the era and actors are different.”\(^{15}\)

**Qatar and Al Jazeera**

Since its founding, Al Jazeera, the Doha-based satellite television network, has consistently given airtime to anti-Semitic perspectives. The network, which is funded by Qatar’s royal
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family,\textsuperscript{16} has disseminated anti-Semitic material on its Arabic-language television channel as well as on AJ+, its online channel, which is available on Twitter, Instagram, YouTube, and Facebook.\textsuperscript{17}

One of the channel’s best-known promulgators of anti-Semitism is Yusuf al-Qaradawi, an Egyptian Muslim Brotherhood ideologue widely considered the spiritual guide of Hamas, the Palestinian branch of the Brotherhood.\textsuperscript{18} In 1996, Al Jazeera gave Qaradawi, a longtime resident of Doha and a citizen of Qatar,\textsuperscript{19} a weekly show titled Religion and Life on its Arabic-language channel, which Qaradawi used to amplify his anti-Semitic messages. Indeed, Jeffrey Goldberg, editor-in-chief of The Atlantic magazine, has suggested Qaradawi as “a role model for the new generation of Jew-haters.”\textsuperscript{20}

In 2009, for example, Qaradawi delivered a sermon, broadcasted on Al Jazeera, in which he claimed, “Allah has imposed upon the Jews people who would punish them for their corruption. The last punishment was carried out by Hitler. By means of all the things he did to them — even though they exaggerated this issue — he managed to put them in their place.”\textsuperscript{21} Qaradawi concluded that “this was divine punishment.”\textsuperscript{22}

Qaradawi also has used his TV platform to incite violence. In an April 2004 episode of the show, he praised God for giving Palestinians “human bombs.”\textsuperscript{23} In a January 2009 sermon broadcasted by Al Jazeera, he called upon Allah to “take this oppressive, Jewish, Zionist band of people … do not spare a single one of them. Oh Allah, count their numbers, and kill them, down to the very last one.”\textsuperscript{24} Qaradawi’s program ran until 2013 and reached an estimated 60 million viewers worldwide.\textsuperscript{25}

Qaradawi was not confined to Al Jazeera’s airwaves. In 1999 – the same year he was banned from entering the United States – Qaradawi launched his website, IslamOnline, with backing

\textsuperscript{19} “Professor Yousef A. Al-Qaradawi,” King Faisal Prize, accessed January 10, 2020. (https://kingfaisalprize.org/professor-vousef-a-al-qaradaawi/)
\textsuperscript{21} Ibid.
\textsuperscript{22} Ibid.
from the Qatari royal family. As the Anti-Defamation League notes, the website “enabled Qaradawi to reach the American public despite being banned from the country.” IslamOnline ran several news stories that described Zionism as a “cancer,” and also featured fatwas from Qaradawi that endorsed violence.

While Qaradawi serves as a particularly notable case of Al Jazeera’s purveying of anti-Semitism, he is, unfortunately, not the only one. In May 2019, the network came under fire for airing a Holocaust-denying video on AJ+ Arabic, which Haaretz describes as Al Jazeera’s “youth-focused, online current-events channel.” The video, titled “How Did Israel Benefit from the Holocaust?” claimed that Israel was the “biggest winner” and that the estimation that six million Jews who perished in the Holocaust was overblown and had been “adopted by the Zionist movement.” In the seven-minute-long video, the narrator, Muna Hawwa, agrees that the Holocaust happened but was “different from how the Jews tell it.” Hawwa goes on to question why “does the world focus so much on Jews,” when the Nazis also killed “Gypsies [Roma], the disabled, homosexuals, and Arabs and Christians too.”

The other claims made in the video are similarly horrific – as is the way AJ+ marketed the video on its Arabic social media platforms: using the caption, “gas ovens killed millions of Jews … so goes the narrative. What is the truth behind the #Holocaust and how did the Zionist movement benefit from it?”

AJ+ pulled the video from its platforms, but it had already garnered 1.1 million views on Twitter and Facebook. Al Jazeera also suspended two journalists (an action it never took in relation to Qaradawi’s anti-Semitism) and claimed that the video had been “produced without due oversight.”

In Europe and other markets outside the Arab world, Al Jazeera reaches much of its audience via Al Jazeera English, which claimed in 2013 to reach over 270 million households in over 140 countries. Since it seeks a broader audience, Al Jazeera English generally avoids the excesses of its Arabic progenitor. Mohammed Fahmy, a former Al Jazeera employee, describes Al
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27 Ibid.

28 Ibid.

29 “Al Jazeera Pulls Video Claiming Holocaust Was 'Different From How the Jews Tell It,'” Haaretz (Israel), May 19, 2019. ([https://www.haaretz.com/middle-east-news/al-jazeera-pulls-video-claiming-holocaust-was-different-from-how-the-jews-tell-it-1.7255111](https://www.haaretz.com/middle-east-news/al-jazeera-pulls-video-claiming-holocaust-was-different-from-how-the-jews-tell-it-1.7255111))


31 “Al Jazeera Pulls Video Claiming Holocaust Was 'Different From How the Jews Tell It,'” Haaretz (Israel), May 19, 2019. ([https://www.haaretz.com/middle-east-news/al-jazeera-pulls-video-claiming-holocaust-was-different-from-how-the-jews-tell-it-1.7255111](https://www.haaretz.com/middle-east-news/al-jazeera-pulls-video-claiming-holocaust-was-different-from-how-the-jews-tell-it-1.7255111))

32 Ibid.

33 Ibid.

34 Ibid.


Jazeera’s Arabic and English channels as “entirely different animals,” since the Arabic channel serves as a “mouthpiece for the Qatari government which owns Al Jazeera 100 percent.”

Yet the politics and ideology behind the Arabic channel sometimes become visible, as became clear in June 2017, when the Twitter account of Al Jazeera English was caught sharing an anti-Semitic meme. Known as the “Happy Merchant,” the meme shows “a hook-nosed Jew in a yarmulke rubbing his hands together.” Al Jazeera’s post featured the caption “my global warming, uh, I mean, climate change scam is working out perfectly for our long term Talmudic plan of world domination!” Al Jazeera English quickly deleted the meme and claimed that the image was not an Al Jazeera English original, “but a reply to an old thread that was mistakenly linked.”

The Islamic Republic of Iran

When I was foreign correspondent covering the Islamic Revolution in Iran in 1979, the 3,000-year-old Jewish community in that nation numbered about 100,000. I recall visiting Iran’s chief rabbi. Above his desk, he had a portrait of Ayatollah Ruhollah Khomeini, whom he praised – effusively, though by no means convincingly.

Over the years since, Iran’s Jewish population has dwindled. Today it is estimated at under 10,000. Under the Islamist regime they are and will remain – at best – second-class citizens. Other minorities, including Christians, and especially Baha’i, suffer even more brutal persecution.

Anti-Semitism constitutes a defining feature of Tehran’s radical Islamist ideology. The clerical regime routinely calls for Israel’s destruction, with the supreme leader, Ayatollah Ali Khamenei, regularly leading crowds in chants of “Death to Israel!” And while the regime often attempts to draw a distinction between anti-Semitism and anti-Zionism, claiming that it opposes Israel but not Jews, its rhetoric and behavior tell a different story. The regime embraces classic anti-Semitic conspiracy theories, demonizes Jews in official state media, and promotes Holocaust denial. On the eve of the 1979 Islamic Revolution, Iran’s Jewish population numbered 80,000 to 100,000. Today, estimates of Iran’s Jewish population range from 5,000 to 10,000.

39 Ibid.
40 Ibid.
43 Karmel Melamed, “40 years after Iranian Revolution, LA’s Persian Jews are still feeling the pain,” The Times of Israel (Israel), March 1, 2019. (https://www.timesofisrael.com/40-years-after-iranian-revolution-las-persian-jews-
Iran’s view of Israel and Jews resembles the thesis of The Protocols of the Elders of Zion, the infamous anti-Semitic forgery published in the early 20th century. According to the Islamist regime, Israel and Jews not only seek to dominate their neighbors, but also lie at the root of all the problems facing the Muslim world. Jews, in this conspiratorial view, secretly control Western governments, spurring them to advance policies that weaken the Muslim world and corrupt it with anti-Islamic ideas.

Last year, for instance, a state-run Iranian television station broadcasted a music video portraying the Statue of Liberty with a Jewish menorah in place of its torch; the singer described it as “a flame straight from hell.”44 Iranian President Hassan Rouhani said the Trump administration’s harsh rhetoric against Tehran was “written by Zionists word for word.”45 A headline in the state-run Press TV declared, “The Zionists Tighten Their Stranglehold on British Politics”; the story proceeded to argue that Britain “is unable to assert an independent foreign policy in respect to major foreign policy issues, notably with regards to Iran.”46 Iran’s state-run media broadcast and disseminate worldwide, reaching millions of viewers in Persian, Arabic, English, and Spanish.

The anti-Semitism of Iran’s rulers and state-run media has a long history. In his landmark book, Islamic Government, Ayatollah Ruhollah Khomeini, the regime’s founding father and first supreme leader, wrote: “From the very beginning, the historical movement of Islam has had to contend with the Jews, for it was they who first established anti-Islamic propaganda.”47

Ayatollah Ali Khamenei, the current supreme leader, has repeatedly described Israel as a “cancerous tumor” as well as the Middle East’s biggest problem.48 This view, he said, constitutes a theological imperative. “Palestine is not a strategic but a belief issue,” he contended. “It is about a heart connection. It is a religious issue.”49 Israel, he said, constitutes “a painful wound on the body of Islamic society” that “is annoying the heart of the prophet. The heart and soul of the prophet in paradise is full of sadness. What is the solution, then? Jihad is the solution.”50

---

50 Ibid.
Holocaust denial also features prominently in the regime’s rhetoric. Last month, Khamenei tweeted his support for the late French philosopher Roger Garaudy, whose book denying the Holocaust was banned in France. The regime has also held multiple Holocaust denial cartoon contests as well as Holocaust denial conferences. This conduct stems in part from its goal of delegitimizing the State of Israel.

Europe

The extraordinary spike in lethal Jew-hatred and in contemporary antisemitism targeting Israel has led to intensified security, new tracking methods, resolutions, executive orders, and legislation to combat “the oldest hatred.”

Hezbollah, Proxy of the Islamic Republic of Iran

In December, Germany’s Bundestag passed a resolution urging Chancellor Angela Merkel’s administration to ban Hezbollah’s activities in the Federal Republic. Merkel has declined to authorize her interior ministry to enact a ban, however. The 1,050 Hezbollah operatives in Germany spread a lethal anti-Semitic ideology and promote the BDS campaign against Israel.

Over the years, U.S. Ambassador to Germany Richard Grenell, Secretary of State of Mike Pompeo, the Israeli government, and Germany’s nearly 100,000-member Jewish community have appealed to Merkel to outlaw Hezbollah.

The United Kingdom proscribed Hezbollah’s entire organization as a terrorist entity in February 2019. In doing so, it joined the Netherlands as the second European country to ban Hezbollah in its entirety. After Hezbollah operatives blew up an Israeli tour bus in 2012 in Burgas, Bulgaria, the European Union classified Hezbollah’s “military wing” as a terrorist organization in 2013. The bombing killed five Israeli tourists and their Bulgarian Muslim bus driver.

The notion of a split between Hezbollah’s alleged military and political wings is risible. The organization itself denies any distinction, but the fiction serves a political purpose for the European Union.

Anti-Semitic Murders in Germany

After a right-wing extremist sought to massacre Jews praying in a synagogue in the eastern German city of Halle on Yom Kippur in October 2019, the state of Saxony-Anhalt, where Halle

is located, posted armed officers outside synagogues during times of prayer. The 27-year-old neo-Nazi Stephan Balliet, after failing to breach the synagogue’s door, murdered two non-Jews nearby before he was arrested.

In addition to Balliet’s right-wing anti-Semitism, including Holocaust denial, he was wedded to a contemporary anti-Semitic worldview, including the idea of a “Zionist-occupied government” controlling Germany. In short, he desired to end Israel’s existence and rid the world of Zionism. In the aftermath of the Halle attack, the German state of Hesse will provide police at every synagogue and Jewish institution during Jewish holidays. Germany’s Interior Minister Horst Seehofer, who has declined to ban Hezbollah, said the authorities will “take a closer look at the gamers’ scene,” because Balliet was heavy user of online gaming platforms.

**Bundestag Resolutions and City Council Laws against BDS**

The German parliament passed a non-binding anti-BDS resolution in May declaring BDS to be anti-Semitic. The resolution noted that the BDS campaign recalls the Nazi-era economic boycott against German Jews that is considered a precursor to the Holocaust. Merkel’s administration has declined to implement the Bundestag’s anti-BDS resolution. In contrast, the German cities of Frankfurt, Berlin, and Munich have either city council laws or executive orders barring the use of public space and funds for BDS activities.

**Visa Restrictions for Visitors from the Disputed Territories**

In January, Andreas Geisel, Berlin’s senator for the interior – the city’s equivalent of an interior minister – travelled to Israel to receive briefings on counter-terrorism and exchange information with Israel’s public security minister. Geisel went to the German consulate office in Ramallah to sensitize the staff about issuing visas to Palestinians who promote terrorism and lethal Jew-hatred. The Ramallah consulate had greenlighted visas for Palestinians to visit Berlin who glorify terrorism against Jews and Israel.

**France and Lethal Anti-Semitism and Anti-Zionism**

---


The French government finances the security of Jewish institutions at the cost of $1.2 million a day. The intensified French security measures followed the Islamic State’s deadly attacks in Paris in 2015 on Charlie Hebdo magazine cartoonists and four Jews at a kosher supermarket. The enhanced security, according to French observers, has turned Jewish organizations and institutions into fortresses.

In December 2019, France’s National Assembly passed a resolution largely equating anti-Zionism with anti-Semitism. The resolution also endorsed the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance’s definition of anti-Semitism and urged other nations to accept it.

**Argentina**

Five years ago this week, Alberto Nisman, an Argentine prosecutor investigating an act of terrorism against Argentine Jews, was shot in the head. The murder came hours before he was slated to present evidence of a plot between major figures in the Argentine government and Iranian representatives to provide immunity to Iran for its role in the terrorist attack. Those involved in Nisman’s murder have never been brought to justice though at least one has been indicted.

Argentina’s newly elected president once said he believed Nisman could not have committed suicide. Indeed, the country’s Gendarmerie found Nisman had been subdued and assassinated. But now the new president says — no doubt swayed by current Vice President Cristina Kirchner, who was president at the time of Nisman’s murder and was the author of the alleged plot to cover up Iran’s role in the original terrorist attack — that there is no evidence of an assassination. He is in a bind because if there were an assassination of an innocent man investigating a plot to cover up the anti-Semitic terrorist act, someone would have to be held accountable. It is increasingly looking like that may not happen in Argentina under the new government.

**The BDS Campaign, Non-Governmental Organizations, and the United Nations**

BDS is one expression of anti-Semitism. Activists at the Durban NGO Forum in 2001, a precursor to the BDS campaign, distributed pro-Nazi and overtly anti-Semitic literature, signaling BDS’s future direction. BDS is both a form of economic warfare and a means to malign and de-legitimize the Jewish state. Among its methods: fabricating, magnifying, and distorting Israeli policies, actions and perceived abuses. According to a 2016 report by the Amcha Initiative, a non-profit dedicated to investigating and combating anti-Semitism in American higher education institutions, “The consideration of anti-Israel divestment resolutions
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in student government or by the student body was strongly linked to a surge in anti-Semitic activity.”

Many of the BDS campaign’s charges against Israel seem familiar because they are modern manifestations of centuries-old attacks against Jews. BDS activists have claimed that Israelis harvest Palestinian organs, kill babies, poison Palestinian wells and, as recently as this month, intentionally flood Gaza. BDS activists also have alleged that Israel and its supporters control foreign governments. Not surprisingly, BDS has found a receptive audience among neo-Nazis and others who are openly anti-Semitic.

While portraying itself as a social justice movement aimed at changing Israeli policies, it should be obvious that BDS seeks the destruction of – a “final solution” for – the Jewish state. BDS leader Omar Barghouti has stated that the BDS campaign “oppose[s] a Jewish State in any part of Palestine.” He added, “No rational Palestinian, not a sell-out Palestinian, will ever accept a Jewish state in Palestine.” The claim that the Jewish state can be annihilated through peaceful means is unserious. The more than six million Jews living in Israel would not pack up and move; they would fight. The war would be bloody. More than half of Israel’s Jewish population descends from refugees from Arab countries. Does anyone really think they’d be welcomed to return to Baghdad, Cairo, or Aleppo? In other words, BDS is implicitly promoting a second Jewish genocide within the span of less than a hundred years.

A network of non-government organizations (NGOs) promotes BDS through research, funding and organizing. Human Rights Watch (HRW) is one of several groups whose research reflects BDS priorities and informs BDS initiatives. In 2015, HRW pressured the UN secretary-general to place Israel on a blacklist of violators of children’s rights in armed conflict, a list that includes the Islamic State and Boko Haram. Bernardine Dohrn, the vice chairwoman of HRW’s

---

66 “The New Anti-Semites,” Zachor Legal Institute and Stopantisemitism.org, December 2019. (https://static1.squarespace.com/static/5cc20f51ca525f73bd50e34/t/5e035aaff6f8a1331f601016/1577278302733/TNeW+Anti-Semites.pdf)
children’s rights advisory committee, is a former leader of the domestic terrorist group Weather Underground. Once listed among the FBI’s Ten Most Wanted, Dohrn is now a BDS activist.

In January 2016, HRW advanced its pro-BDS advocacy with a report titled “ Occupation, Inc.” Arguing that businesses operating in Israeli settlements contribute to human rights abuses, the report urged these companies to boycott the settlements. HRW then released a pair of reports in 2017 and 2018 calling on banks to boycott Israeli settlements. HRW also began pressing Airbnb, the online property rental service, to delist properties located in Israeli settlements. At the same time, HRW was preparing a report on Airbnb’s settlement-based listings that described them as contributing to human rights violations. Seeking to avoid bad press, Airbnb announced one day before HRW released its report that the company would remove all settlement listings, though the company later reversed its decision.

In conjunction with HRW, the United Nations has aided anti-Israel activism. The United Nations constantly isolates Israel and treats it as a whipping boy – the Jew among nations, one might say. The body holds the Jewish state to a standard not expected of other countries.

The following are examples of the United Nations’ political anti-Semitism: In 2019, the UN General Assembly continued its trend of singling out the Jewish state by passing 18 resolutions targeting Israel, compared to only seven country-specific condemnations for the rest of the world. The UN Human Rights Council, since its founding in 2006, has passed about as many resolutions condemning Israel as those against the rest of the world combined. In 2016, the council passed a resolution calling for a blacklist of all companies operating in Israeli settlements. This resolution emerged through the council’s structural anti-Semitic flaw – a permanent agenda item dedicated to Israel, guaranteeing an exaggerated focus on the Jewish state. There is no permanent agenda item for any other country.
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The UN infrastructure dedicated to the Palestinians and used to castigate Israel is extensive. The United Nations maintains a separate refugee agency dedicated to the Palestinians known as the United Nations Relief and Works Agency for Palestine Refugees in the Near East, or UNRWA. Due to deep and pervasive corruption, its director was recently forced to resign. But in its very design, UNRWA is corrupt: Its goal is not to solve but to perpetuate the Palestinian refugee problem and reinforce the Palestinian claim to any and all Israeli land, including by maintaining a definition of refugees that is more expansive than that for any other refugees.\textsuperscript{78}

The United Nations also has a Division of Palestinian Rights (DPR) under the secretariat, the only division dedicated to a specific people. The division oversees the Committee for the Exercise of the Inalienable Rights of the Palestinian People, which frequently commissions anti-Israel reports and hosts anti-Israel conferences.\textsuperscript{79} DPR also oversees UNISPAL, a UN-funded anti-Israel propaganda machine.\textsuperscript{80} There is also the Special Committee to Investigate Israeli Practices, which makes no attempt to hide its singular focus on Israel. Extreme anti-Israel bias is pervasive throughout the UN system, including at UNESCO, the UN cultural agency, and at ESCWA, the Middle East regional group, which excludes Israel.\textsuperscript{81}

The UN maintains its extreme policies on Israel, the only Middle Eastern country ranked “free” by Freedom House, while brutal dictatorships with deplorable human rights records are overlooked.\textsuperscript{82} But then, anti-Semites have always used Jew and Jewish communities as distractions and scapegoats.

***

\textbf{Recommendations}

\textbf{Law Enforcement}

- Federal grant funding should be increased for local police departments and prosecutors for hate crime response training. Some big cities do a good job, but smaller towns lack necessary resources.
- There should be a review of city and state laws that put perpetrators of anti-Semitic and other hate crimes back on the streets within hours. New York City is the prime example of this right now.

\textsuperscript{78} Ibid.
• A system should be set up for monitoring and reporting on anti-Semitism and other hateful ideologies being spread in America’s prison systems.

• Extremist and/or anti-Semitic foreign imams in the U.S. illegally should be deported expeditiously. Extremist and/or anti-Semitic foreign imams here legally should not receive visa extensions.

Foreign Policy

• U.S. taxpayer contributions to the United Nations that end up funding the UN Human Rights Council should be cut.

• The current U.S. ambassador to the United Nations should be encouraged to call out anti-Semitism and anti-Israelism in that organization, as Ambassador Nikki Haley so effectively did.

• The office of the State Department’s Special Envoy to Monitor and Combat Anti-Semitism should be given additional responsibilities and fully funded.

• The Islamic Republic of Iran should be recognized and condemned as the world’s leading state sponsor of anti-Semitism.

• France’s judiciary should be condemned for its travesty of justice in the Sarah Halimi case mentioned above.

• It would be useful to propose and support an executive order extending current anti-boycott laws initiated by U.S. state governments to boycotts of Israel put forward by international governmental organizations such as the United Nations or European Union.

• Foreign laws requiring special labeling for Israeli-made products and other initiatives pushed by the BDS movement are designed solely to stigmatize the world’s only Jewish state. Countries that adopt such initiatives should find themselves on the list of countries of concern for violations of religious freedom.

• The National Security Council and the Domestic Policy Council should each have a dedicated staff member with responsibility for coordinating interagency efforts to combat anti-Semitism. Policy planning on anti-Semitism should be institutional across all departments and agencies, and have a dedicated position to convene the interagency for both domestic and foreign anti-Semitism issues to have a lasting impact.

Education and Religious Freedom:

• Imams and mosques preaching anti-Semitism and other expressions of hate and bigotry (e.g. toward Christians or the LGBT community) should be denounced by local, state, and federal authorities (very much including members of Congress).
• Religious freedom means being free to exercise your religion free of state control. Initiatives to ban circumcision are anti-Semitic and should be condemned.

• There should be a review of state Holocaust education requirements and curriculum to ensure that the next generation is being adequately educated. Many states have Holocaust education laws but they are vague and not well implemented. The Simon Wiesenthal Center, U.S. Holocaust Memorial Museum, and others have published excellent digital content for teachers – but too few school districts and state boards of education know enough to offer these opportunities. There should be a review of foreign textbooks in the United States: Many textbooks originating in the Middle East indoctrinate children to hate of Jews, Christians, and other non-Muslims.